

REMARKS

Because of the former response was not believed to be in full condition and because the applicant declares that he is unable to write down the claims, the applicant requests the constructive assistance of the examiner pursuant to the procedure M.P.E.P 707 (j). The regulation says that when, during the examination of a pro se application it becomes apparent to the examiner that there is patentable subject matter disclosed in the application, the examiner should draft one or more claims for the applicant and indicate in his or her action that such claims would be allowed if incorporated in the application by amendment. This practice will expedite prosecution and offer a service to individual inventors not represented by a registered patent attorney or agent. Although this practice may be desirable and is permissible in any case deemed appropriate by the examiner, it will be expected to be applied in all cases where it is apparent that the applicant is unfamiliar with the proper preparation and prosecution of patent applications.

If the examiner does not find patentable subject matter and sends a response to the applicant saying that the invention is worthless, the applicant will proceed to abandon the invention if another action could not be taken by the examiner or the applicant.



Gonzalo Romero M,
455 39th Ave N, Apt # 2
Saint Petersburg, FL 33703-6118
Ph: 727-831-6334.

Certificate of Faxing. I certify that on the date below I will fax this paper to GAU 1655 of the U.S. Patent and trademark Office.

JAN 23, 2008.